

5/14/15 Call w/ Rob + Windward re: BERA Comments <sup>Call</sup> (#2)

- CPH will be sending us a letter
  - memorialize concerns
  - won't invoke dispute resolution
  - but want to preserve their right

CPH - Follow up - 11, 13a, 13b, 51, 52  
to do

work on with 16,  
but they should discuss  
in unc. section

others: they  
will send  
us Clarification  
to review

✓ #146 - comment applied ~~now~~ to fish, not  
all species ⇒ okay ✓

Chuck - 153, 155, 156d, 162, 209 - these ask for diff  
to do assessment endpoints than agreed to in PFI

⇒ we will get back to them w/

Chuck #147 + 209 - related to carp ⇒ and all carp comm  
will Not Responsive

pull together why use <sup>are we</sup> "evaluating them to  
protect them?"

Some examples,  
Wrest blue  
heron eat  
them  
→ Chuck - that's not what we're doing  
→ CPH keeps saying they are invasive,  
we say they are non-native

- TRVs - no direction on what to use, other  
than to be more conservative

#148 → okay, they'll adjust ✓

156 → they'll go through ✓

163b → " " " ✓ and clarify

Cont.

- other TRV comments - they'll use most conservative in SLERA, but then present what they think are more appropriate in BERA (to bound the results)
- #143 - CPH says they weren't eliminated, #150, 151b, just placed at end → will discuss in BERA  
189b, 192b
- 161a, 174, 176, Fish Diet vs. Tissue  
177, 201 - CPH says metals + PAHs not appropriate to be eval. in tissue b/c they're metabolized, etc.  
→ will do most cons. in SLERA + "Technically preferable" approach in BERA, but compare to SLERA result
- #187 ~~187~~ -  
192 } Sum of TEAs - ~~the~~ TEA approach won't work for  
194, 195, 197, 198 } invertebrates tissue.  
They will show sums, and evaluate use for inv. tissue.
- #166 - we'll give them further definition on what to use, they'll do what we say

Chuck #86 ~~1990~~ - comment says not to use OC-normalized data → but the criterion is OC-normalized  
⇒ we'll review, they'll review too

14

~~14~~

186

190

Cont.

- #190 - water dissolved vs. total, similar to 'concern on 186' → ~~weather~~ ~~revised~~, but do both ways ✓

Chuck - #149 + 159 - They question the need to calc. on H<sub>2</sub>O in a SLERA  
→ Chuck thinks this applies to groups which may end up over 1, but what groups → we'll look into

- #205 - turtles? We're not asking for a full eval. just add text that they could be in ext. section of river.

Not Responsive